



## **Protective effects of artemisinin and *Artemisia annua* extracts on clinical caecal coccidiosis in broiler chickens**

Thøfner, Ida; Pors, Susanne Elisabeth; Schou, Torben Wilde; Thebo, Per; Nielsen, Ole Lerberg; Ivarsen, Elise; Fretté, Xavier; Christensen, Lars Porskjær; Grevsen, Kai; Engberg, Ricarda M.; Christensen, Jens Peter

*Published in:*  
18th World Veterinary Poultry Association Congress

*Publication date:*  
2013

*Document version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Thøfner, I., Pors, S. E., Schou, T. W., Thebo, P., Nielsen, O. L., Ivarsen, E., Fretté, X., Christensen, L. P., Grevsen, K., Engberg, R. M., & Christensen, J. P. (2013). Protective effects of artemisinin and *Artemisia annua* extracts on clinical caecal coccidiosis in broiler chickens. In *18th World Veterinary Poultry Association Congress: Proceedings* (pp. 721-722)

## Protective effects of artemisinin and *Artemisia annua* extracts on clinical caecal coccidiosis in broiler chickens

THØFNER Ida<sup>1</sup>, PORS Susanne<sup>1</sup>, SCHOU Torben W.<sup>2</sup>, THEBO Per<sup>3</sup>, NIELSEN Ole L.<sup>1</sup>, IVARSEN Elise<sup>4</sup>,  
FRETTE Xavier C.<sup>4</sup>, CHRISTENSEN Lars P.<sup>4</sup>, GREVSEN Kai<sup>5</sup>, ENGBERG Ricarda M.<sup>6</sup>,  
CHRISTENSEN Jens Peter<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Disease Biology, Faculty of Health and Medical Science, University of Copenhagen, Stigboejlen 4, 1870 Frederiksberg C, Denmark

<sup>2</sup>DHI Environment and Toxicology, DHI Group, Agern Allé 5, 2970 Hoersholm, Denmark

<sup>3</sup>National Veterinary Institute, SVA, Ulls väg 2B, SE-751 89 Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Chemical Engineering, Biotechnology and Environmental Technology, University of Southern Denmark, Niels Bohrs Allé 1, 5230 Odense, Denmark

<sup>5</sup>Department of Food Science, Aarhus University, Kirstinebjergvej 10, 5792 Aarslev, Denmark

<sup>6</sup>Department of Animal Science, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark

### Abstract

Avian coccidiosis is the most important parasitic disease in poultry production (Dalloul and Lillehoj, 2006). Intense use of anticoccidials increases the risk of resistance development against these drugs. Combined with increased consumer concerns and a trend towards organic and free range production, the demand for research in the use of natural compounds as an alternative to anticoccidials has increased.

In this study the protective effects of artemisinin and a dichloromethane extract from dried leaves of *Artemisia annua* (cv Artemis, Mediplant) administered via the feed was investigated in broiler chickens (Ross 308) 5 and 7 days after experimental oral inoculation with 4000 sporulated *Eimeria tenella* oocysts (Houghton strain, isolate K-347-1) at day 5 and 7 post infection.

There were no differences in body weights or feed intake between the groups regardless of treatment or infection. Among the infected groups the proportion of chickens with no or mild lesions, i.e. lesion score 0-1 (Johnson and Reid, 1970), was significantly higher 5 days post infection in the group treated with artemisinin compared to the untreated group 5 days post infection. However, the caecal lesions did not differ significantly among any of the infected groups 7 days post infection (see Figure 1). There were no significant differences in caecal oocyst output among the infected groups.

This is the first study evaluating the effect of a dichloromethane extract from *A. annua* and as seen in the figure, there seems to be a dose dependant correlation with severity of lesions. Furthermore, the observed effects of artemisinin on lesion scores confirm results demonstrated previously (Allen et al., 1997; del Cacho et al., 2010). However, gross lesions in chickens treated with artemisinin appeared more healed on day 7 post infection compared to untreated infected birds with similar lesion score. This may be suggestive of an immunomodulatory effect of artemisinin. On-going histological investigations of the lymphocytic response in the caeca will clarify this.

### References

1. Allen, P.C., Lydon, J., Danforth, H.D., 1997. Effects of components of *Artemisia annua* on coccidia infections in chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 76, 1156-1163.
2. Dalloul, R.A., Lillehoj, H.S., 2006. Poultry coccidiosis: recent advancements in control measures and vaccine development. *Expert Review of Vaccines* 5, 143-163.
3. del Cacho, E., Gallego, M., Francesch, M., Quilez, J., Sanchez-Acedo, C., 2010. Effect of artemisinin on oocyst wall formation and sporulation during *Eimeria tenella* infection. *Parasitol. Int.* 59, 506-511.
4. Johnson, J., Reid, W.M., 1970. Anticoccidial Drugs - Lesion Scoring Techniques in Battery and Floor-Pen Experiments with Chickens. *Exp. Parasitol.* 28, 30-36.

**Keywords:** *Eimeria tenella*; artemisinin; broilers

SPP34

**Figures**

Figure 1 Relationship between lesion scores (LS) and treatments 5 and 7 days post infection.

\* indicates lesion scores significantly different from infection control ( $p < 0.05$ )